



Standard Test Method for Nondestructive Assay of Special Nuclear Material Holdup Using Gamma-Ray Spectroscopic Methods¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C1455; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reappraisal. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reappraisal.

^{ε1} NOTE—10.4.1.1 editorially corrected in July 2015.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method describes gamma-ray methods used to nondestructively measure the quantity of ²³⁵U or ²³⁹Pu present as holdup in nuclear facilities. Holdup may occur in any facility where nuclear material is processed, in process equipment, in exhaust ventilation systems and in building walls and floors.

1.2 This test method includes information useful for management, planning, selection of equipment, consideration of interferences, measurement program definition, and the utilization of resources (1, 2, 3, 4).²

1.3 The measurement of nuclear material hold up in process equipment requires a scientific knowledge of radiation sources and detectors, transmission of radiation, calibration, facility operations and uncertainty analysis. It is subject to the constraints of the facility, management, budget, and schedule; plus health and safety requirements. The measurement process includes defining measurement uncertainties and is sensitive to the form and distribution of the material, various backgrounds, and interferences. The work includes investigation of material distributions within a facility, which could include potentially large holdup surface areas. Nuclear material held up in pipes, ductwork, gloveboxes, and heavy equipment, is usually distributed in a diffuse and irregular manner. It is difficult to define the measurement geometry, to identify the form of the material, and to measure it without interference from adjacent sources of radiation.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C26 on Nuclear Fuel Cycle and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C26.10 on Non Destructive Assay.

Current edition approved Jan. 1, 2014. Published March 2014. Originally approved in 2000. Last previous edition approved in 2007 as C1455 – 07. DOI: 10.1520/C1455-14E01.

² The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:³

C1490 Guide for the Selection, Training and Qualification of Nondestructive Assay (NDA) Personnel

C1592 Guide for Nondestructive Assay Measurements

C1673 Terminology of C26.10 Nondestructive Assay Methods

2.2 ANSI Standards:⁴

ANSI N15.36 Measurement Control Program—Nondestructive Assay Measurement Control and Assurance Systems

ANSI N15.56 Nondestructive Assay Measurements of Nuclear Material Holdup: General Provisions

2.3 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Guides:⁵

Regulatory Guide 5.23, In Situ Assay of Plutonium Residual Holdup

3. Terminology

3.1 Refer to Terminology C1673 for definitions used in this test method.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 *Introduction*—Holdup measurements range from the solitary assay of a single item or routine measurement of a piece of equipment, to an extensive campaign of determining the total SNM in-process inventory for a processing plant. Holdup measurements differ from other nondestructive measurement methods in that the assays are performed in situ on equipment or items instead of on multiple items with similar characteristics measured in a specialized, isolated room. Often the chemical form and geometric distribution of the SNM are

³ For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

⁴ Available from American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, http://www.ansi.org.

⁵ Available from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 20555.

not well known. These challenges require unique preparation for every measurement to obtain a quality result. Unknown measurement parameters can lead to large measurement uncertainties.

4.2 Definition of Requirements—Definition of the holdup measurement requirements should include, as a minimum, the measurement objectives (that is, criticality control, SNM accountability, safety, or combinations thereof); the desired measurement sensitivity, measurement uncertainty, and available resources (schedule, funds, and subject matter experts). The customer, the measurement organization, and appropriate regulatory authorities should agree on the holdup measurement requirements before holdup measurements commence.

4.3 Information Gathering and Initial Evaluation—Information must be gathered concerning the item or items to be assayed and an initial evaluation should be made of the level of effort needed to meet the holdup measurement requirements. Preliminary measurements may be needed to assess the problem, to define the location and extent of the holdup, to determine the SNM isotopic composition or enrichment, and to identify potential interfering radionuclides. Factors to be considered include the geometric configuration of the item or process equipment to be assayed, location of the equipment in the facility, attenuating materials, sources of background or interferences, facility processing status, radiological and industrial safety considerations, plus the personnel and equipment needed to complete the assay. Sources of information may include a visual survey, engineering drawings, process knowledge, process operators, and prior assay documentation.

4.3.1 Subsequent measurement campaigns may well proceed more rapidly when the objective is to quantify changes from the previous measurement campaigns and no changes have been made to the process.

4.3.2 Shutdown facilities are frequently measured once-through carefully and completely. Any subsequent measurement campaigns may only verify a subset of the data set.

4.4 Task Design and Preparation—The initial evaluation provides a basis for choosing the quantitative method, assay model, and subsequently leads to determination of the detection system and calibration method to be used. Appropriate standards and support equipment are developed or assembled for the specific measurement technique. A measurement plan should be developed. The plan will include measurement locations and geometries or guidance for their selection. The measurement plan will reference overall measurement program documents governing required documentation, operating procedures, background measurement methods and frequencies, plus training, quality and measurement control requirements. Any needed additional procedures should be developed, documented, and approved.

4.5 Calibration—Calibration and initialization of measurement control should be completed before measurements of unknowns. Calibration requires traceable standards.

4.6 Measurements—Perform measurements and measurement control as detailed in the measurement plan or procedure.

4.7 Evaluation of Measurement Data—As appropriate, corrections to measured count rates are made for Compton

background, gamma-ray attenuation effects by equipment walls, and measured area background. As appropriate, corrections are made for finite geometry effects in the assay model and for self-attenuation. These corrections are applied in the calculation of the assay value. Measurement uncertainties are established based on factors affecting the assay.

4.7.1 Converting measurement data to estimates of the quantity of nuclear material holdup requires careful evaluation of the measurement parameters against calibration assumptions. Depending on the calibration and measurement methods used, corrections may be necessary for geometric effects (differences between holdup measurement and calibration geometries), gamma-ray attenuation effects, background, and interferences. Measurement uncertainties (random and systematic) are estimated based on uncertainties in assay parameters, for example, holdup distribution, attenuation effects, measured count rates and finite source corrections.

4.7.2 Results should be evaluated against previous results, if available. If a discrepancy is evident, an evaluation should be made. Additional measurements with subsequent evaluation may be required.

4.8 Documentation—Measurement documentation should include the plans and procedures, a description of measurement parameters considered important to the calibration and measurement location, the measurement techniques used, the raw data, the assumptions and correction factors used in the analysis, the results with estimated uncertainty, and comparison to other measurement techniques (when available).

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Measurement results from this test method assists in demonstrating regulatory compliance in such areas as safeguards SNM inventory control, criticality control, waste disposal, and decontamination and decommissioning (D&D). This test method can apply to the measurement of holdup in process equipment or discrete items whose gamma-ray absorption properties may be measured or estimated. This method may be adequate to accurately measure items with complex distributions of radioactive and attenuating material, however, the results are subject to larger measurement uncertainties than measurements of less complex distributions of radioactive material.

5.2 Scan—A scan is used to provide a qualitative indication of the extent, location, and the relative quantity of holdup. It can be used to plan or supplement the quantitative measurements.

5.3 Nuclide Mapping—Nuclide mapping measures the relative isotopic composition of the holdup at specific locations. It can also be used to detect the presence of radionuclides that emit radiation which could interfere with the assay. Nuclide mapping is best performed using a high resolution detector (such as HPGe) for best nuclide and interference detection. If the holdup is not isotopically homogeneous at the measurement location, that measured isotopic composition will not be a reliable estimate of the bulk isotopic composition.

5.4 Quantitative Measurements—These measurements result in quantification of the mass of the measured nuclides in

the holdup. They include all the corrections, such as attenuation, and descriptive information, such as isotopic composition, that are available

5.4.1 High quality results require detailed knowledge of radiation sources and detectors, transmission of radiation, calibration, facility operations and error analysis. Judicious use of subject matter experts is required (Guide C1490).

5.5 *Holdup Monitoring*—Periodic re-measurement of holdup at a defined point using the same technique and assumptions can be used to detect or track relative changes in the holdup quantity at that point over time. Either a qualitative or a quantitative method can be used.

5.6 *Indirect Measurements*—Quantity of a radionuclide can be determined by measurement of a daughter radionuclide or of a second radionuclide if the ratio of the abundances of the two radionuclides is known and secular equilibrium (Terminology C1673) is present. This can be used when there are interfering gamma rays or when the parent radionuclide does not have a sufficiently strong gamma-ray signal to be readily measured. If this method is employed, it is important that the ratio of the two radionuclides be known with sufficient accuracy to meet assay uncertainty goals.

5.7 *Mathematical Modeling*—Modeling is an aid in the evaluation of complex measurement situations. Measurement data are used with a mathematical model describing the physical location of equipment and materials. (3, 5, 6, 7, 8).

6. Interferences

6.1 Not properly accounting for background can cause problems in several ways. Background may contribute undesired events to either the peak of interest or to the background continuum underneath the peak of interest. Consequently it can cause a bias, have deleterious effects on the precision, or both.

6.1.1 Gamma-rays from the isotope being measured that do not originate in the item being measured can bias results high.

6.1.2 Background variations can cause biased results. For example, SNM in nearby items that are moved or shielding that is moved during the measurement can cause biased results.

6.1.3 If background gamma-ray flux is large relative to the gamma-ray flux from the holdup, the overall assay sensitivity will be reduced and uncertainty increased. Small quantities of holdup may be overestimated, underestimated or missed altogether.

6.1.4 Gamma-rays emitted by nuclides other than the nuclide of interest may produce a bias if the gamma ray energies are sufficiently close to each other considering the resolution of the measurement system. For example, low resolution detectors do not easily distinguish the 375.0 keV and 413.7 keV ²³⁹Pu gamma rays from ²³⁷Np interferences at 312.9-, 340.8-, 398.6-, and 415.8 keV. Plutonium holdup measurements using the 330-414 keV region also have age-dependent interferences from ²⁴¹Am in that region which are considerable for low resolution detectors.

7. Apparatus

7.1 General guidelines for selection of detectors and signal-processing electronics are discussed below (see Guide C1592).

7.2 The apparatus chosen for measurements must have capabilities appropriate to the requirements of the measurement being performed. For example, in order to locate holdup by scanning, a simple system based on a gross gamma-ray detector, for example, a Geiger-Mueller tube, is adequate for some applications. Other applications, where severe interferences or absorption are expected, may require a high-resolution Ge-detector-based system. The quality of assay results may be dependent upon the capabilities of equipment. The user will choose a suitable trade-off between detector energy resolution, detection efficiency, equipment complexity and equipment portability (weight, size and number of pieces).

7.2.1 *Scan Measurement Systems*—The minimum gross gamma-ray detection system may be a survey meter. If limited energy discrimination is satisfactory, a low resolution scintillation detector may be used, such as a bismuth germanate (BGO) or NaI detector. The detection system may be as complex as a Ge-detector with a complete MCA system.

7.2.2 *Low Resolution Measurement Systems*—Quantitative holdup measurement may be performed using instrumentation that offers portability and simplicity of operation. The instrumentation typically includes a low resolution scintillation detector with spectroscopy electronics in a portable package. Stabilization may be necessary to compensate for electronic drift. At least two energy windows are recommended: one for the peak or multiplet of interest, and another to determine the Compton continuum (background) under the peak.

7.2.3 *Medium Resolution Measurement Systems*—CdZnTe or LaBr₃ are newer, medium resolution gamma-ray detectors. Resolution is typically adequate to obtain isotopic information from the spectra.

7.2.4 *High Resolution Measurement Systems*—A high resolution gamma-ray spectrometry system may be necessary if the isotopic distribution varies or interfering gamma-rays are present. Germanium detectors have sufficient resolution to resolve most types of spectral interferences or allow the use of computer software that will resolve closely spaced gamma-ray peaks. Germanium detector systems usually weigh more and require more care and attention than scintillator-based systems.

7.2.5 *Detector Collimation and Shielding:*

7.2.5.1 A collimator is used to limit the field of view of a detector so that gamma radiation from the intended source can be measured in the presence of background radiation from other sources.

7.2.5.2 Design of a collimator generally involves arriving at a compromise among several attributes. Among these are a manageable collimator weight versus adequate shielding against gamma rays from off-axis directions, and a fixed acceptance solid angle that is likely not ideal for all measurement situations. Since a detector is intended to be used and calibrated with a specific collimator, it is appropriate to refer to the unit as a detector-collimator assembly.

7.2.5.3 Changes in the absorber foils or detector field of view causing a change in the calibration will require a change in the response model of the detector system whether it is determined empirically or calculated.

7.2.5.4 Additional shielding may be used to reduce the background incident on the detector from identified nearby